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Abstract

Objectives—To detect and localise bacteria in gallbladder mucoceles utilizing fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). To report clinical signs, clinicopathologic abnormalities, sonographic findings and histopathological findings in FISH+ and FISH- dogs with gallbladder mucoceles.

Materials and Methods—Retrospective review of signalment, clinical signs, clinicopathologic and sonographic findings of 25 cases of histopathologically confirmed gallbladder mucocele. Histopathological sections of GBM were evaluated for cystic mucinous hyperplasia, cystic mucinous hyperplasia with cholecystitis and rupture. The number and spatial distribution of bacteria was determined by eubacterial FISH. Gallbladder contents were cultured in 21 dogs.

Results—Bacteria were detected within or adherent to the gallbladder in eight of 25 (32%) cases. Bacterial culture was positive in one dog. Cystic mucinous hyperplasia with concurrent cholecystitis was found in 17/25 (68%) of dogs with gallbladder mucocele.

Clinical significance—FISH was more sensitive for detection of bacteria in gallbladder mucoceles when compared to bacterial culture of bile. Cholecystitis was common in dogs with gallbladder mucocele. Further study is required to elucidate the relationship of cystic mucinous hyperplasia, bacteria and cholecystitis in the aetiopathogenesis and progression of GBM.
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Gallbladder mucocele (GBM) has emerged as a common and clinically important cause of extrahepatic biliary disease in the dog. GBM is most frequently reported in older dogs and

Bacterial culture has been reported positive in 3-67% of cases of GBM (Pike et al. 2004, Worley et al. 2004, Aguirre et al. 2007, Mayhew et al. 2008, Crews et al. 2009, Malek et al. 2013, Policelli et al. 2017, Mitzutani et al. 2017, Policelli Smith et al. 2017). This wide variation may reflect the use of perioperative antimicrobial therapy, and differences in sampling and culture based methodologies. The prevalence of bacterial infection in GBM could also be impacted by concurrent comorbidities such as cholecystitis or cholelithiasis, conditions which have reported culture positive rates of 35-50% (Mehler et al. 2004, Aguirre et al. 2007). Concurrent cholecystitis has been reported in 17-40% of dogs with GBM (Besso et al. 2000, Pike et al. 2004, Worley et al. 2004, Malek et al. 2013).

Co-morbidities such as bacterial infection and cholecystitis could impact the progression and outcome of GBM. For example, septic bile peritonitis is significantly associated with mortality in dogs receiving extrahepatic biliary tract surgery for a variety of causes including cholelithiasis, cholecystitis, neoplasia, and trauma (Mehler et al. 2004). Mural inflammation, erosion and
ulceration of the GB could compromise the structural integrity of the GB and predispose to rupture. Timely recognition of bacterial infection and cholecystitis could influence the medical management of GBM and reduce perioperative mortality. Further, because cholecystectomy may be postponed in cases of suspected benign GBM, there is a clear need to better understand the relationship of concurrent bacterial infection and cholecystitis to GBM.

Fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH) is a culture-independent technique that enables visualization and localisation of intact bacteria in formalin-fixed, paraffin wax-embedded tissues. FISH has been used to document bacteria in a variety of cells and tissues and in some cases has been demonstrated to be more sensitive for detection of bacteria when compared to culture (Simpson et al. 2006, Recordati et al. 2009, Warren et al. 2011, Kornreich et al. 2012, Twedt et al. 2014). To our knowledge, FISH has not been used previously to detect bacteria in archived GB samples in dogs.

The objectives of this study were to 1) detect, count and localise bacteria in GBMs when evaluated by FISH and 2) report clinical signs, clinicopathologic abnormalities, sonographic findings and histopathological findings in FISH+ and FISH- dogs with GBM.

Materials and Methods

Inclusion Criteria and Case Data Review

Electronic medical records (EMR) at Colorado State University were reviewed for cases of histopathologically confirmed canine GBM between December 2010 and January 2015. Dogs were included if their primary diagnosis was gallbladder mucocele with no significant concurrent
extra-hepatobiliary disease noted in the EMR, and a complete blood count, biochemical profile and abdominal ultrasound had been performed within 48 hours prior to cholecystectomy. Retrospective case review included signalment, clinical signs, clinicopathological abnormalities, peri-operative outcome, and bacterial culture of bile and antimicrobial use.

**FISH**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded histological sections (4 μm) were mounted on Probe-On Plus slides (Fisher Scientific) and evaluated by FISH as previously described (Simpson *et al.* 2006). In short, paraffin-embedded biopsy specimens were de-paraffinized by passage through xylene (3 × 10 mins), 100% alcohol (2 × 5 mins), 95% ethanol (5 mins) and, finally, 70% ethanol (5 mins). The slides were air-dried. FISH probes 5’-labeled with either Cy3 or 6-FAM (Integrated DNA Technologies) were reconstituted with sterile water and diluted to a working concentration of 5 ng/µl with a hybridization buffer appropriate to the probe. For evaluation EUB338 Cy-3 was combined with the irrelevant probe non-EUB-338-FAM (ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGC) to control for non-specific hybridization. Sections were examined on an Olympus BX51 epifluorescence microscope and images captured with an Olympus DP-7 camera (Olympus America). The relative number and spatial orientation of bacteria within the section of gallbladder was also recorded.

**Ultrasonographic Data**

All ultrasound examinations were performed by a board-certified veterinary radiologist or a veterinary radiology resident under the direct supervision of a board-certified veterinary radiologist. Written reports, still images, and video clips of ultrasonographic examinations were
then retrospectively reviewed by a board-certified veterinary radiologist (EKR) blinded to the case data. The appearance of the gallbladder, gallbladder wall, adjacent abdominal structures, and free peritoneal fluid was evaluated. Sonographic features of GBM were defined as stellate or finely striated bile patterns that differed from biliary sludge by the absence of gravity-dependent bile movement (Besso et al. 2000). The gall bladder wall was evaluated for echogenicity, presence of oedema, thickening and rupture. A thickened gallbladder wall was defined as more than 2 mm in dogs (Nyland & Hager 1985). GB wall oedema was defined as a thickened GB wall with a hypoechoic layer within the GB wall.

**Histopathological Evaluation**

Original histopathologic reports (all by board-certified veterinary pathologists) were reviewed to confirm a diagnosis of GBM. Following this, archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were located for 23/25 cases, sectioned at 4 um and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) for blinded review by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (SLP) employing WSAVA criteria for CMH and cholecystitis (Rothuizen 2006). Cholecystitis was defined as the presence of a neutrophilic and/or lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate in the epithelium or wall of the gallbladder +/- fibrosis (Rothuizen 2006) and assigned a grade of mild, moderate or severe. Each case was assigned to one of 4 groups: CMH, CMH with cholecystitis (CMHC), mild, moderate or severe.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the presence/absence of clinical signs, clinicopathological data, sonographic findings, and histologic findings in FISH+ versus FISH-dogs with GBM.
**Results**

Twenty-six dogs with a histopathological diagnosis of gallbladder mucocele were identified. One dog was excluded due to concurrent hemolytic anemia and so 25 cases were included. Tissue blocks for 23 of 25 cases were available for blinded histopathological review.

**Patient Demographics, Clinical and Clinicopathologic Characteristics and Outcome**

The median age was 11 (n=25; range, 6-14), with a near even distribution between castrated male 12 (48%) and spayed females 13 (52%). Breeds included mixed (n=10), Shetland sheepdog (n=3), miniature schnauzer (n=2), Pomeranian (n=2), and one each of the following: Australian shepherd, Bernese mountain dog, cocker spaniel, Labrador retriever, Maltese, miniature dachshund, miniature poodle and Yorkshire Terrier. Due to the retrospective nature of this study it was not possible to fully determine the presence or absence of potential medical conditions predisposing to mucocele in every case (Mesich et al. 2009, Kutsani et al. 2014, Gookin et al. 2015). Three mixed breed dogs had a previous diagnosis of hyperadrenocorticism.

Clinical signs were present in 18 of 25 (72%) dogs with GBM (Table 1). Change in appetite (i.e. hyporexia or anorexia) was most common, 12/25 (48%). Other clinical signs included: vomiting (11/25;44%), lethargy (9/25;36%), diarrhea (6/25;24%), abdominal pain (4/25;16%), jaundice (3/25;12%), polyuria/polydipsia (3/25;12%), fever (2/25;8%), and abdominal distension (1/25;4%).
There were clinicopathological abnormalities in all 25 dogs. Neutrophilia (12/25; 48%) was the most common hematological abnormality. Biochemical abnormalities were present in every dog, with elevated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity (22/25; 88%) the most common (Tables 1).

Aerobic and anaerobic culture of bile was performed in 21/25 (84%) cases. Culture was positive in 1/21 dogs, yielding *Escherichia coli* in a dog with “CMHC moderate” and clinical findings of vomiting, neutrophilia with left shift, thrombocytosis, and elevated ALP. Review of medical records revealed that all dogs received perioperative antibiotics: cefazolin (four of 25; 16%), cefoxitin (15 of 25; 60%), and ampicillin-sulbactam (six of 25; 24%). The dog with *E.coli* detected from bile culture was receiving cefoxitin.

Perioperative death occurred in three of 25 (12%) cases. Necropsies were not performed. Clinical signs in these dogs included vomiting alone in one dog, inappetence alone in one dog, and jaundice, vomiting, diarrhoea, lethargy and inappetence in the third dog. One dog had a neutrophilia and another had band neutrophilia with a normal neutrophil count. Two of three dogs were hyperbilirubinemic and hypoalbuminemic. Two of three dogs that died in the perioperative period had cholecystitis and were FISH+ but the cause of death was not determined. The remaining dog suffered respiratory arrest postoperatively and pulmonary thromboembolism was suspected, but not confirmed.

**FISH analysis of GB mucosa**

Bacteria that hybridized to the eubacterial FISH probe were detected in eight of 25 (32%) cases. Bacteria were noted adherent to the GB epithelium and/or invasive within the GB mucosa in all
dogs, some dogs also had bacteria visualized within the mucus. Three dogs had less than 10
bacteria visualized; the remainder of the dogs had bacteria visualized as dense clusters or masses
(Table 2; Figure 1). FISH analysis of the dog with *E.coli* cultured in the bile revealed masses of
bacteria within luminal mucus and adhering to the GB wall (Figure 1, D).

**Sonographic Findings**

The sonographic appearance of the GB was consistent with mucocele (Besso *et al.* 2000) in 24 of
25 (96%) cases. The dog lacking sonographic features of GBM was presented for vomiting and
sonography revealed a thickened GB wall with peritoneal effusion so abdominal exploratory was
performed. Seven of 25 (28%) dogs had an abnormal GB wall (hyperechoic [four/25; 16%],
thickened [three of 25; 12%], edema [four of 25; 16%]). Nine of 25 (36%) had peritoneal effusion
detected on abdominal ultrasound. One of these dogs had a moderate amount of effusion found
diffusely throughout the abdomen; the other eight dogs had trace effusion reported. GB rupture
was suspected based on the original ultrasound in two cases. Ultrasound correctly identified GB
rupture in one of the two cases. The dog that was incorrectly suspected of rupture presented for
lethargy and hyporexia. This dog had hyperbilirubinemia, marked elevations in ALP and ALT,
neutrophilia and band neutrophilia, and sonographic evidence of GBM with a thickened,
hyperechoic, and oedematous GB wall, and a moderate peritoneal effusion (fluid cytology not
performed). Surgical exploration found an intact GB. Histopathological diagnosis was CMHC
(moderate), and no bacteria were evident on culture or FISH.
In five of 25 (20%) dogs, cholecystitis was listed as suspected in the ultrasound report based on abdominal ultrasound findings of GB wall abnormality, peritoneal effusion, and/or cystic bile duct. All five of those dogs had histopathological evidence of cholecystitis.

**Histopathological Findings**

The original and blinded (23 of 25 cases) histopathological examinations indicated a diagnosis of CMH in all cases. The blinded examination documented CMH alone in eight of 25 (32%) cases and CMH with concurrent cholecystitis (CMHC) in 17 of 25 (68%). Cholecystitis was classified as mild in eight of 17 (47%), moderate in seven of 17 (41%), and severe in two of 17 (12%) (Figures 2 and 3). In three cases, necrosis of the GB wall was also noted along with cholecystitis (two moderate, one severe). Seven of eight (88%) FISH+ dogs had CMH with concurrent cholecystitis; one dog had CMH alone. Cholecystitis was classified as mild in three FISH+ dogs, as moderate in two FISH+ dogs, and as severe in two FISH+ dogs (Table 1). Rupture of the GB was not apparent histologically in any of the cases. However, rupture of the GB was documented at surgery in two of 25 (8%) dogs, both with CMHC.

**Discussion**

In this study we evaluated the utility of FISH to demonstrate bacteria in the gallbladder of a group of dogs with GBM. In these dogs, FISH was more sensitive for the detection of bacteria (eight of 25; 32%) than aerobic and anaerobic culture, which was positive in only one of 21 cases.
The importance of the bacteria identified in GBM by FISH is unclear. The accepted standard for diagnosis of bacterial infection in the biliary system is aerobic and anaerobic culture and sensitivity (Neer 1992). Further, this would ideally be correlated with cytologic results in order to attempt to determine whether the bacteria may be transient, iatrogenic contamination, or true biliary infection as healthy dogs have been shown to periodically harbor bacteria in the bile with no obvious clinical relevance (Kook et al. 2010). In humans with cholelithiasis and/or chronic cholecystitis there is also a wide variation in the reported rates of bacterial infection (0 to 73%) and controversy over the significance of the results (Lemos et al. 2010). FISH alone is unable to definitively prove infection versus transient bacteria versus iatrogenic contamination. However, in all of our cases bacteria were visualized adjacent to the GB wall or within the GB parenchyma, which would suggest pathogenic behaviour of the bacteria.

In attempting to determine the significance of the bacteria seen by FISH, it is important to consider possible reasons for the discordancy between FISH and bacterial culture results. All dogs in the study were administered perioperative antibiotics, but it is unclear whether antibiotic administration would have influenced culture results. In a report of dogs undergoing cystotomy for urolithiasis the use of perioperative antibiotics did not change culture results when compared to antimicrobial administration following surgery (Buote et al. 2012). However, the dogs in our study did not all receive the same antibiotic and there may be a differential effect of antimicrobials on recovery of cultured bacteria. Additionally, it is possible some of the bacteria seen with FISH were not cultivable with routine culture methodologies. Also, the varied method of collection of GB contents/bile may affect the ability to consistently identify bacteria. During the time period of this study, our hospital generally submitted microbiology swabs of GB
contents following cholecystectomy for aerobic and anaerobic bacterial culture. Although there is no concrete evidence to suggest that swabs placed in transport media is inferior to a direct culture of bile or culture of GB tissue, it is possible this could have contributed to the discrepancy between bacterial culture results and the positive identification of bacteria using FISH in this group of dogs.

Generally, identification of concurrent bacterial infection of the bile, GB mucosa or liver in cases of GBM is challenging. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous cholecystocentesis is a common and typically safe procedure for the collection of bile for the purposes of cytologic evaluation and culture (Uno et al. 2009, Peters et al. 2016, Schiborra et al. 2017). However, biliary mucocele is considered by many to be a contraindication to cholecystocentesis as the potential for GB necrosis secondary to GBM makes rupture of the biliary tract possible (Kook et al. 2010). A recent publication described 201 dogs that had percutaneous cholecystocentesis performed, six of which had GBM. Two of these dogs had complications from cholecystocentesis, one of which died from bile peritonitis (Schiborra et al. 2017). Aspirate of a GBM for collection of bile preoperatively is generally discouraged. Based on the results of this study, FISH could be considered as a complimentary diagnostic tool as it may be more sensitive than bacterial culture of bile in some instances, and has the added benefit of demonstrating the organism within the tissue. If a high suspicion of bacterial infection exists and bacterial culture is negative, FISH could be performed and while awaiting results an appropriate empirical antimicrobial could be administered to the dog. While FISH is unable to give information on antimicrobial susceptibility, the use of specialized probes may enable the identification of the bacterial species to help ensure appropriate choice of antimicrobial in regards to spectrum and penetration of
tissue. It remains unclear whether the bacteria seen are pathogenic and potentially contributing to the aetiopathogenesis or progression of GBM, or whether they are transient or of little clinical relevance. However, the number of GBM cases with bacteria visualized by FISH is of interest. Prospective studies utilizing bacterial culture, cytology, and FISH are needed to further evaluate the relationship between GBM and bacteria.

In our cohort of 25 dogs with GBM, we found that only 32% had histological findings restricted to CMH. Concurrent cholecystitis was a common (17 of 25; 68%) co-morbidity and ranged from mild in seven of 17 (41%) to moderate-severe in nine of 17 (53%) cases. This is higher than previous reports of concurrent cholecystitis in 17 to 40% of dogs with GBM (Besso et al. 2000, Pike et al. 2004, Worley et al. 2004, Malek et al. 2013). In theory, cholecystitis in GBM may be a consequence of inadequate GB emptying and subsequent ischemic or pressure necrosis of the GB wall. However, only three of 17 dogs with CMHC in the present study had evidence of GB wall necrosis. This suggests that factors other than wall necrosis, including bile stasis, infarction or bacterial infection (ascending or enterohepatic) may be involved (Aguirre 2010). We found that clinical signs and clinicopathological findings were broadly similar in dogs with CMH and CMHC; however a higher percentage of dogs with CMHC were hyperbilirubinemic versus dogs with CMH alone. Thus the presence of hyperbilirubinemia may alert the clinician to the presence of cholecystitis in an otherwise benign appearing GBM. Furthermore, chronic cholecystitis is a condition that can result in pain, anorexia, vomiting, and weight loss, and the diagnosis may not always be obvious, especially in patients with other concurrent hepatobiliary disease (Aguirre 2010). Thus, the high proportion of cholecystitis among the dogs in our study is noteworthy, and
may support the recommendation for early cholecystectomy, even in dogs with an otherwise benign-appearing mucocele.

In our study, three of 25 (12%) dogs died in the perioperative period following cholecystectomy. Definitive cause of death was not identified in any case. Two (67%) of these dogs had cholecystitis and were FISH+. The other dog had histopathological evidence of CMH alone and was FISH-. None of these dogs had GB rupture noted surgically or histopathologically. The reason for perioperative death following cholecystectomy for treatment of GBM is not well understood. Although concurrent bacterial infection has not been correlated with perioperative mortality (Besso et al. 2000, Pike et al. 2004, Worley et al. 2004, Aguirre et al. 2007, Crews et al. 2009, Uno et al. 2009, Malek et al. 2013), the rate of bacterial infection has been variably described (Pike et al. 2004, Worley et al. 2004, Aguirre et al. 2007, Crews et al. 2009, Uno et al. 2009, Malek et al. 2013, Mitzutani et al. 2017), which may limit the ability to make this correlation. In our two cases of perioperative death with cholecystitis and bacteria detected by FISH, death due to complications of cholecystitis (such as hemodynamic instability) (Amsellem et al. 2006, Papazoglou et al. 2008) and/or translocation of bacteria from the biliary system and resulting septicemia could be considered as possible causes.

The limitations of FISH should be considered. A negative FISH result does not exclude the presence of bacteria. Despite enzyme degradation steps, inherent differences in the permeability of different bacteria to FISH probes may lead to a failure to detect some gram positive and acid fast bacteria. The eubacterial FISH probe employed in this study will only detect viable bacteria with intact 16S, it will not recognize dead bacteria. It is important to note the inherent difficulties
of studies that utilize subjective histopathology. The use of standardized scoring schemes are typically employed to reduce subjectivity. However, despite this there is still poor agreement among histopathologists in studies describing hepatic and intestinal lesions in dogs (Jergens et al. 2014, Lidbury et al. 2017). Finally, the retrospective nature of this study made it difficult to accurately determine the incidence of concurrent diseases previously reported to be associated with GBM (e.g. endocrine disease, hyperlipidemia) (Mesich et al. 2009, Kutsani et al. 2014, Gookin et al. 2015) and postsurgical outcomes.

In conclusion, FISH detected bacteria in eight of 25 (32%) dogs with GBM and was more sensitive for the detection of bacteria than bacterial culture. Additional investigation is needed to further determine the relationship between bacteria and GBM and its relation to aetiopathogenesis or progression of disease, clinicopathologic abnormalities, ultrasound findings, histopathological findings, and outcome. The high proportion of occult cholecystitis may support the recommendation for early elective cholecystectomy in dogs with GBM. Additional investigation is also needed to further elucidate the relationship between GBM and cholecystitis.

No conflicts of interest have been declared.
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Table 1. Selected clinical, clinicopathologic, sonographic and histopathologic abnormalities in dogs with gallbladder mucocele that were FISH- versus FISH+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Reference Interval</th>
<th>FISH+ Median (range)</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>FISH- Median (range)</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical signs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7/8 (88%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/17 (65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophilia</td>
<td>2.6-11 (x10^3/ul)</td>
<td>10.8 (2.3-22.6)</td>
<td>3/8 (38%)</td>
<td>12.5 (4.5-20)</td>
<td>9/17 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased band neutrophils</td>
<td>0-0.2 (x10^3/ul)</td>
<td>0.3 (0-1.7)</td>
<td>4/8 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0-0.4)</td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytosis</td>
<td>200-500 (x10^3/ul)</td>
<td>405 (167-664)</td>
<td></td>
<td>358 (188-735)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/8 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoalbuminemia</td>
<td>3-4.3 (G/dl)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.8-4)</td>
<td>3/8 (38%)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4-4.1)</td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperbilirubinemia</td>
<td>0-0.2 (mG/dL)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.1-13.9)</td>
<td>5/8 (63%)</td>
<td>0.2 (0-4.5)</td>
<td>5/17 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated ALP</td>
<td>15-140 (IU/L)</td>
<td>1554 (62-5579)</td>
<td>7/8 (88%)</td>
<td>786 (69-9718)</td>
<td>15/17 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated ALT</td>
<td>10-90 (IU/L)</td>
<td>472 (23-2776)</td>
<td>6/8 (75%)</td>
<td>162 (26-1477)</td>
<td>13/17 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonography:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peritoneal effusion</td>
<td>2/8 (25%)</td>
<td>7/17 (41%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GB wall abnormality</td>
<td>4/8 (50%)</td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMH</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1/8 (13%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/17 (41%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMHC all</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7/8 (88%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/17 (59%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMHC mild</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3/8 (38%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/17 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMHC moderate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2/8 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/17 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMHC severe</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2/8 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GB wall abnormality includes: hyperechogenicity, increased wall thickness, oedema, or discontinuous wall consistent with rupture. GB=gallbladder; CMH=cystic mucinous hyperplasia alone; CMHC=cystic mucinous hyperplasia + cholecystitis.
Table 2. Number and location of bacteria in FISH+ dogs with GBM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dog</th>
<th>&lt;10 bacteria</th>
<th>≥10 bacteria</th>
<th>Adherent</th>
<th>Invasive</th>
<th>Within Mucus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X symbols denote the dogs listed (dogs 1-8; y-axis) had the characteristics in the x-axis (<10 bacteria, etc) in their individual tissue sample.
Figure 1. FISH analysis of gall bladder mucocele.

(A) FISH of GMB and CMH with Cy3-EUB-338 (red) 6-FAM-Non-EUB-338 (green) reveals a smooth villus lining with no bacteria visualized (B) FISH of GBM CMHC (moderate) with Cy3-EUB-338 (red) and 6-FAM-Non-EUB-338 (green) reveals the presence of four bacteria (red) within the gallbladder epithelium (insert) (C) GBM CMHC (severe) with clusters of bacteria (red) within intraluminal debris and the gallbladder epithelium (insert) (D) GBM CMHC (moderate) with masses of bacteria (red) within the gallbladder mucus and adjacent to the gallbladder wall (insert). DAPI (4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole) stained nuclei are blue.
Figure 2. Histopathological characteristics in 25 dogs with GBM. GBM gallbladder mucocele, CMH cystic mucinous hyperplasia alone, CMHC cystic mucinous hyperplasia with cholecystitis.
Figure 3. Photomicrograph of gallbladder biopsy sections of dogs with gallbladder mucocele. Hematoxylin-eosin stained section showing (A) no significant cellular infiltrates within the gallbladder epithelium with thin villus projections (arrow) into luminal mucus (CMH) (B) mild cellular infiltrates within the gallbladder epithelium with thickened, more cellular villus projections (arrow) into luminal mucus (CMHC-mild) (C) moderate cellular infiltrates within the gallbladder epithelium with thicker and loculated villus projections (arrow) into luminal mucus (CMHC-moderate) (D) marked cellular infiltrate with areas of necrosis within the gallbladder epithelium with blunting, thickening, and cellular infiltration of the villus projections (arrow) into the luminal mucus (CMHC-severe)